

APPLICANT INTERVIEW SUMMARY

On May 22, 2008, a telephone interview was held between Examiner Chang and Applicant's attorney Oliver Ong (Reg. No. 58,456). The interview was held to discuss the limitation of claim 10 with respect to the cited reference of Choi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,233,690). Claim 10 was rejected as being obvious over Girson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,111,179) in view of Choi et al., where Choi was relied upon for disclosing the limitation of claim 10.

Applicant's attorney explained that claim 10 recites adjusting an operating voltage or an operating frequency of a machine based on a memory references-per-cycle metric and that Choi et al. does not disclose this limitation. Examiner agreed that Choi et al. does not disclose the limitation of claim 10. The Examiner suggested rolling claim 10 into claim 1 and claim 21 into claim 13 in a response after final.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for his time and consideration.